

Dutch Politician Warns of Tyrannical, COVID-19-enabled Globalism



AP Images

Thanks to the reaction to COVID-19, the machinery for tyranny is more firmly in place. In fact, the so-called disease-mitigation efforts have “been a practice to train obedience,” and now the “globalist plans can be carried out — and the next step towards mass surveillance and total control can be taken.”

So said Dutch politician Thierry Baudet, founder and leader of the Netherlands’ Forum for Democracy (FVD) party, in a viral video of a recent speech he gave before his nation’s parliament. In his five-minute talk, he even cited “a 2010 Rockefeller Founda-

tion Report that laid out the pandemic and its aftermath, that is eerily like what the world has just experienced,” as the Gateway Pundit put it in a June 6 article.

Baudet certainly wasn’t politically correct in his speech. As he stated at its conclusion: “I hope there will be a moment that we wake up. That we will realize this is a collective psychosis. That the locking down of the entire country, half the world for 1.5 years because of a flu variety is insane. That walking around with those silly useless masks is insanity.... This COVID phase has been a practice to train obedience.... The globalist plans can be carried out — and the next step towards mass surveillance and total control can be taken.”

Earlier in his speech, Baudet had cited the aforementioned 2010 Rockefeller Foundation report. Relating this, Baudet said that national “leaders all over the world would strengthen their power with laws, rules, and restrictions, from the requirement to wear masks to body temperature checks on entering train stations, planes, buildings.”

What’s for certain is that the China virus situation is being used to advance political agendas. This claim is often called a “right-wing conspiracy theory,” but note here that leftist Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau admitted this himself last September while speaking to the United Nations. “This pandemic has provided an opportunity for a reset,” he unabashedly stated. “This is our chance to accelerate our pre-pandemic efforts to re-imagine economic systems.”

Missouri Nullifies Federal Gun Control With New Law

On June 12, Missouri Governor Mike Parson signed into law H.B. 85, titled the Second Amendment Preservation Act, or SAPA. With the bill’s enactment, Missouri joins the growing number of states and localities with “Second Amendment Sanctuary” laws nullifying gun-control laws that violate the Constitution.

Constitutional activists had been trying to pass SAPA since 2013, when the legislature passed the bill only to see it vetoed by then-Governor Jay Nixon, a Democrat. SAPA’s enactment marks the culmination of these efforts. Indeed, Missouri’s SAPA is one of the strongest and broadest “Second Amendment Sanctuary” laws in the country.

The law makes several declarations, including support for a limited federal government as stipulated in the 10th Amendment, the invalidity of federal powers not enumerated in the Constitution, and an elaboration of what policies the federal government and the Missouri state government can and cannot constitutionally enforce.

Next, the law gives a list of policies, which might be contained in “federal acts, laws, executive orders, administrative orders, court orders, rules, and regulations,” that violate the U.S. Constitution’s Second Amendment and Article I, Section 23, of Missouri’s constitution. These include any tax that might discourage firearm purchases or ownership; gun confiscation laws; laws that prohibit law-abiding individuals from owning, using, or transfer-

ring firearms; and laws mandating the tracking and registration of firearms, gun owners, gun accessories, or ammunition.

Most importantly, the law nullifies both past and future unconstitutional firearm restrictions. Additionally, while SAPA does not name any specific federal laws, the Tenth Amendment Center reported June 12 that the 1934 National Firearms Act and the 1968 Gun Control Act are included among the nullified laws.

The rest of the law primarily ensures that government officials at the state and local levels do not enforce the listed unconstitutional federal gun-control policies and provides citizens with a means of redress if their self-defense rights are violated. ■



Bymonas/Stock/GettyImagesPlus