

COVID-19 VACCINES

A "Cure" Worse
Than the Disease?

The COVID-19 vaccines were released on an emergency basis before being properly tested. With the passage of time, the effects of these experimental drugs look increasingly dismal.

The COVID-19 vaccines were released on an emergency basis before being properly tested. With the passage of time, the effects of these experimental drugs look increasingly dismal.

THE NEW AMERICAN • JULY 5, 2021

by Dr. Lee Merritt

Many Americans have heard the news account of Dr. Gregory Michael, a 56-year-old Florida physician who, after receiving his first dose of the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID vaccine on December 18 of last year, was hospitalized with a blood disorder and spontaneous bleeding. In spite of being treated by a team of physicians, he died of a brain bleed due to total loss of his platelets — the little blood cells that stop bleeding. By February 10, 2021, 36 similar cases were reported in the mainstream media. Pfizer said in a statement that it was “aware of the death,” adding, “We are actively investigating this case, but we don’t believe at this time that there is any direct connection to the vaccine.”

Pfizer made this “finding” despite several unusual circumstances of the case. First, the low-platelet disorder known to most doctors as idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) most commonly affects children, and generally follows a viral illness. Only 10 percent of ITP cases occur in adults, who usually present with a slow onset form of the disorder, referred to as chronic ITP. The disorder usually starts by easy bleeding, such as slow oozing from gums or the nose, or bruises showing up without trauma. Rarely do platelets drop below 20,000 per microliter (normal levels are 200,000-500,000 per microliter), and generally treatment either reverses the disease or prolongs life for years in spite of the problem.

What happened to this physician and the others seems to be a new problem related to COVID vaccines, despite the manufacturers’ claims. This is further substantiated by the following case: After receiving the second dose of the Pfizer vaccine, a woman breast-fed her healthy one-month-old baby, who then died of thrombocytopenia.

Increasingly, vaccine manufacturers and government officials are following the sar-

Dr. Lee Merritt has been in the private practice of orthopaedic and spinal surgery since 1995, has served on the board of the Arizona Medical Association, and is past president of the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons. She is a lifelong advocate for patients’ right to choose their own medical care without government intervention.

Many people who wouldn’t purchase the first edition of a new car model are lining up to take an injection they know nothing about ... that could never meet the required “safety level” for a “drug,” and that is unapproved for the prevention of COVID except as an emergency experiment.



AP Images

Get your vaccines: While many Americans have already received their COVID-19 vaccines, many remain skeptical about the safety and effectiveness of the shot despite massive amounts of government and media propaganda aimed at reducing “vaccine hesitancy.”

castic maxim from Samuel Shem’s novel of medical residency entitled *The House of God*: “If you don’t take a temperature you can’t find a fever.” In other words, if we don’t critically look at the actual recorded patient damage, we won’t find our products to be defective. Predictably, the major media — most of whose advertising money comes from Big Pharma — is increasingly getting on board, condemning “vaccine hesitancy” and pushing everyone to get vaccinated for COVID, discounting any dangers. But in the practice of medicine, we are supposed to employ the foundational principle of *primum non nocere* — first, do no harm.


Are These Really “Vaccines,” and Are They Necessary?

Moderna and Pfizer COVID-19 “vaccines” are experimental, employing a genetic

technology never before used on humans. Ironically, many people who wouldn’t purchase the first edition of a new car model are lining up to take an injection they know nothing about, that has never successfully passed animal trials, that could never meet the required “safety level” for a “drug,” and that is unapproved for the prevention of COVID except as an emergency experiment. Legally, those who get the vaccine are unnamed participants in a Stage IV Food and Drug Administration (FDA) trial.

Moreover, a vaccine is supposed to prevent disease. By that definition, these agents are not vaccines. They are more properly termed “experimental unapproved genetic agents.” By admission of the manufacturers themselves, both the Pfizer and Moderna products only lessen the symptoms of COVID; they don’t prevent transmission.

As to the claims of the efficacy of the experimental “vaccines,” the declaration of 95-percent effectiveness of the Pfizer product was shown to be false by Dr. Peter Doshi, the associate editor of the *British Medical Journal*.



Safe and effective: As this graph shows, countries that used hydroxychloroquine widely to treat COVID-19 saw much lower death rates than those countries that restricted its use.

Vaccination was first invented to treat smallpox, which had a fatality rate of up to 60 percent. Then other diseases such as typhoid and polio were similarly addressed. But vaccination should not be used when the death rate is low and effective, safe treatment is available. Although censorship has confused the public understanding, overwhelming evidence dating back to the 1970s shows that we have had success in treating viruses with lysosomotropic agents such as chloroquine. The current truth is that hundreds of papers have shown that chloroquine, and its later version hydroxychloroquine, is very effective in treating this virus if given early. A worldwide open architecture online review of COVID survival (hcqtrial.com) showed that the COVID death rate was 69.9-percent lower in those countries where hydroxychloroquine was used early and often.

Multiple large studies done in outpatient settings show excellent results with hydroxychloroquine and other drugs such as ivermectin. In Mumbai, India, a study was done of the city police force of 10,000 officers. No deaths were recorded in the 4,600 officers taking a small dose of hydroxychloroquine each week. All the deaths were in the untreated group. Using Worldometer statistics, COVID deaths per capita in New York State are 2,770 per million population; in New Jersey they are 2,966 per million population. In India the rate is 273 per million, and in Uganda it is only 10 per million. Neither India nor Uganda used social distancing in any real way. But they do use hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin. New York (except for Dr. Zev Zelenko and a few others) has not used hydroxychloroquine. Recently, as the COVID death

rate was increasing in India, the country defied WHO guidelines and started giving asymptomatic and mild COVID cases 200 mcg/kg of ivermectin daily for three to five days, and using both ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine for treatment. The disease and death rate immediately plummeted. Indian medical professionals cite 219 peer-reviewed studies proving the effectiveness of hydroxychloroquine, and 93 studies (54 peer-reviewed) that similarly show ivermectin’s benefit.

As to the claims of the efficacy of the experimental “vaccines,” the declaration of 95-percent effectiveness of the Pfizer product was shown to be false by Dr. Peter Doshi, the associate editor of the *British Medical Journal*. After doing an independent review of the data submitted to the FDA, Dr. Doshi reported that only about 19 percent of test subjects experienced the slightest benefit (symptom reduction). Absolute risk reduction — in other words, stopping transmission — he estimated at less than one percent. Interestingly, his excellent critique has been scrubbed from the Internet. (I have preserved a paper copy for any fact-checkers out there).

Every medical intervention is made after a risk-benefit analysis, i.e., do the potential risks outweigh the potential benefits? As seen above, the benefits have been highly overrated. During the first four months after the rollout of Pfizer and Moderna “vaccines” in 2021, 97 percent of deaths from vaccines recorded in the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) were for those agents, meaning only three percent of reported deaths involved all other vaccines combined. Here are the total deaths for all vaccines reported to VAERS, from January through April, during the years 2018-2021:

2018: 22 deaths
2019: 27 deaths
2020: 26 deaths
2021: 3,661 deaths

According to openvaers.com, as of this writing the VAERS database contains 5,888 reports of deaths following the COVID shots.

In Israel, where the Pfizer “vaccine” is being used exclusively and a major push is on to vaccinate the entire population, an independent review of government data after two months of the vaccine program was done by the Aix-Marseille University

Faculty of Medicine Emerging Infectious and Tropical Diseases Unit's Dr. Hervé Seligmann and engineer Haim Yativ. They showed that when 12.5 percent of Israelis were vaccinated, 51 percent of the deaths from COVID were in the vaccinated group. Additionally, in the over 65-year-olds, vaccination resulted in death from COVID 40 times more than in unvaccinated people. In other words, the Pfizer shot is not protecting people from COVID, but increasing fatalities from the disease — not to mention having other side effects.

If the truth were known, most sane, thinking people would not likely take part in such an experiment. With the truth suppressed, threats of travel bans, an unwarranted fear of COVID, and pressure from employers and the politicization of COVID in general, Americans have been throwing caution to the wind.

Bleeding and Thrombocytopenia

To understand what is actually happening to people after receiving the COVID “vaccines,” I reviewed bleeding and

thrombocytopenia data in VAERS — an open-source searchable database of possible vaccine side effects reported by both providers and patients. According to the CDC website:

VAERS is used to detect possible safety problems — called “signals” — that may be related to vaccination. If a vaccine safety signal is identified through VAERS, scientists may conduct further studies to find out if the signal represents an actual risk.

The main goals of VAERS are to:


- Detect new, unusual, or rare adverse events that happen after vaccination
- Monitor increases in known side effects, like arm soreness where a shot was given
- Identify potential patient risk factors for particular types of health problems related to vaccines
- Assess the safety of newly licensed vaccines

- Watch for unexpected or unusual patterns in adverse event reports
- Serve as a monitoring system in public health emergencies

The CDC acknowledges limitations of the system, including:

- Reports submitted to VAERS often lack details and sometimes contain errors.
- Serious adverse events are more likely to be reported than mild side effects.
- It is generally not possible to find out from VAERS data if a vaccine caused the adverse event.

I searched the VAERS database using keywords that would identify bleeding problems and thrombocytopenia (low or absent platelets). Entries are defined by age groups and sex with a narrative account of the injury. Keep in mind that these reports can be submitted by patients, caregivers, family members, and nurses. Doctors rarely



report for a variety of reasons, including unfamiliarity of the system and time constraints. Insufficient details in the narrative are not correctable, nor does any one report conclude causality. The idea is to amass enough data points to recognize a trend.

In the two-and-a-half-month period from December 15, 2020 to March 12, 2021, 358 cases of unusual clotting or bleeding were identified, and it makes grim reading. There were 104 cases of frank thrombocytopenia (low platelets) — some including young people. However, the numbers alone do not adequately convey the problems. A physician documented this case of a young woman, aged 18-29: “Patient was seen in my office on 1/19/21 with complaint of heavy vaginal bleeding. A CBC was obtained which revealed an H/H of 12.2/36.1 and a platelet count of 1 (not 1K, but 1 platelet!) This was confirmed on smear review.” The surprise and horror the doctor experienced upon seeing the absence of platelets is clear when reading the report.

But the platelet problem may just be the most severe expression of a physical derangement that is producing bleeding of all sorts. As seen in the table on page 13, there were 49 people with brain hemorrhages — nine fatal at the time of reporting. A number of other people arrived at emergency departments with bleeding from multiple sites, or internally, so massive that they could not be stabilized even to clearly define the sources of the bleeding.

Most cases of severe bleeding were in people over age 50, but there were many younger people involved, especially in the less-severe-but-unusual bleeding problems. Of the 32 reported nosebleeds, six were either unable to be stopped with usual measures, were recurrent, or were recorded as leading to significant blood loss or dubbed “profuse.” Many bleeding issues were associated with other symptoms, such as photophobia (eye sensitivity to light), headache, hives, “sick in bed,” brain fog, and face swelling. The youngest patient with a nosebleed was, sadly, a toddler

requiring emergency care. Unusual skin bleeding was also reported. Four 65-plus-year-old males reported blood spontaneously oozing through the skin: one from the legs, one from the scalp, one from an old biopsy site, and one from an old healed “boil” site. Frank bleeding at the time of inoculation occurred 14 times. Some bleeding was momentary, but often the bleeding was difficult to stop, was recurrent, and/or persisted after the patient returned home. Perhaps the saddest were the bleeding episodes that preceded spontaneous miscarriages.

Here are some direct entries in VAERS:

- 40-49 y.o. Female: The evening of my vaccination I began to feel feverish, weak and achy. During the night I woke with heavy bleeding and found out the following morning I had miscarried my otherwise healthy pregnancy.



AP Images

Not without side effects: The Johnson & Johnson COVID-19 vaccine was temporarily “paused” by the FDA and CDC over reports of severe blood clotting. Is it worth the risk?

- 39 y.o. Female: Internal brain bleeding 10 days after 1st dose Covid vaccine; brain damage, confused, suffering memory loss; This is a spontaneous report from a contactable physician (patient).

- 30-39 y.o. Female: 48 hours after injection developed micro-hemorrhages in her right eye. Symptoms resolved and 12/29 recurrence of bleeding to right eye slightly worse than before.

- 65+ y.o. Male: Patient developed significant nose bleed after receiving vaccine. Required emergency department visits x 2 and hospitalization.

- 65+ y.o. Female: Vaccine administered 02/02/2021. By Thursday 2/11/2021 patient almost nonverbal, by Monday 2/15/2021 patient went to the hospital with bruising, sores on her stomach and clots reported as thrombocytopenia. Deceased by Friday, 2/19/2021.

- 40-49 y.o. Female: Bleeding, myalgia, tingling in the fingers of the right hand; fatigue immediately upon vaccination — bleeding at the injection site which the employee reports as filling the Band-Aid over the site. When she got home in the evening and took it off blood ran.

- 65+ y.o. Female: Within 15 min of the injection, the individual became aphasic and stroke like symptoms. She was taken to the ER where she was later diagnosed with a cerebral hemorrhage and passed away.

When such facts are presented, the standard retort from vaccine advocates is, “We have given millions of vaccines, so a few deaths are to be expected.” Besides the fact that a willingness to sacrifice individuals for the nebulous good of the masses represents a bankrupt moral order, simply calculating the numbers of deaths is inadequate. Experts need to take the time to read the narrative to open their eyes —

and their hearts — to the suffering happening. There are over 25 pages of such stories printed from VAERS entries, and we must ask, how many of these people are now dead, and how many are going to die?

Just before this article went to press, I attempted to update the numbers of the study. Now, using the same search terms and methodology, for the same time period of vaccination, instead of 358 reports there were over 6,200 reports. With any new medical intervention or drug rollout, this lag in reporting side effects produces an “avalanche effect” — a problem begins slowly and is barely perceptible, then speeds up unnoticed until it is too late to avoid the calamity. Looking at this data set, another finding was the greatly expanded degree of detail in the newer reports. This clearly reflects an awakened concern by medical professionals and others as to the seriousness of what they are witnessing.

A second-year medical student armed with the facts should recognize the ongoing disaster — where are the experts?

The “Unknowns” Outnumber the “Knowns”

In truth, neither COVID “vaccine” recipients nor their doctors know what is in these shots. Only a few people at the top of the Moderna, Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson, and AstraZeneca research groups really understand them. These injections produce a potentially deadly pathogen — a spike protein — in your cells. The FDA’s Emergency Use Authorization for the Pfizer product says that the shot contains “a nucleoside-modified messenger RNA (modRNA, or mRNA) encoding the viral spike glycoprotein (S) of SARS-CoV-2.” If your immune system is strong enough to withstand this onslaught and create some immunity, you may survive the injection. But even if you live in the short term, mRNA is an epigenetic controller of DNA. Though this foreign synthetic mRNA doesn’t actually become part of your DNA to make you a “GMO human,” as some people have been worrying about, it can control DNA in ways we have yet to completely understand. We literally have no idea whether these gene products are going to express cancer genes, or turn off cancer-fighting genes. In the world of your complex DNA, there are thousands of

In truth, neither COVID “vaccine” recipients nor their doctors know what is in these shots. Only a few people at the top of the Moderna, Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson, and AstraZeneca research groups really understand them.



1208801292/GettyImagesPlus

A bloody business: Various bleeding issues have been reported by people who have received the COVID-19 shots. Some of the bleeding has been severe, even fatal. Is it worth the risk?

other potentially damaging “unknowns.”

Pfizer and Moderna “vaccines” include all types of other ingredients that may by themselves create ailments. The Pfizer shot contains “lipids ((4-hydroxybutyl)azanediyl)bis(hexane-6,1-diyl)bis(2-hexyldecanoate), 2-[(polyethylene glycol)-2000]-N,N-ditetradecylacetamide, 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine, and cholesterol), potassium chloride, monobasic potassium phosphate, sodium chloride, dibasic sodium phosphate dihydrate, and sucrose.”

I insert this list just for completeness — don’t expect to make sense of it. Your doctor can’t either. I understand “sucrose” (sugar) and “sodium chloride” (salt), but who doesn’t get lost in the “hydroxybutyl” and “distearoyl” lipid list?

Most recently, people have put out videos purporting to show they are now magnetic after taking the vaccines. A physician

colleague of mine confirmed that not only would a magnet stick to the injection site, but a paperclip would hold to the skin — suggesting that the vaccine material was itself magnetic. Before dismissing this as nonsense, consider this article published in August of 2019 at LabRoots.com: “Scientists Developed Magnetic Nanoparticles that can Remotely Modulate Neural Circuits.” Such iron-containing nanoparticles are already being used in oncology — they can be put into the blood vessel feeding a brain tumor, then MRI scanning of the area causes the particles to heat and burn out the cancerous mass. So, what will happen when these vaccinated people enter the MRI for other reasons? Without unbiased analysis and actually being told the full details of the components of the vaccine, how can we know? And should we give such a drug to the whole world without full disclosure?

Recognizing a Pharmaceutical Disaster

The pharmacology industry has a long history of removing bad drugs from the market. Thalidomide is perhaps the most famous example of a pharmacologic disaster. The drug was released in 1957 for its sedative effects and, like the COVID “vaccines,” was touted as being safe for everyone including “pregnant women and children.” In 1961, Dr. William McBride, an obstetrician, discovered that thalidomide was useful for mitigating “morning sickness” in pregnant women. Later he began to see unusual and devastating birth defects in babies born to women for whom he had prescribed the drug. Independently, Dr. Widuking Lenz, a pediatrician in Germany, also associated thalidomide with severe and unusual birth defects, such as the absence of limbs or parts of limbs. Sometimes an infant’s hands were attached at the shoulders — there being no connecting long bones at all. By 1962, the drug has been taken off the market, after more than 100,000 babies were born with lifelong, disabling birth defects.

In contrast to the COVID “vaccines,” recognition of the thalidomide problem was made relatively easy by several factors.

First among these was the uniqueness of the deformities. These were both profound and obvious, which stands in stark contrast to the current bleeding problems, which appear on the surface to be normal problems in clinical medicine — such as nosebleeds.

Second, with thalidomide, the physician who first began using the drug for nausea in pregnancy was also the doctor who delivered the affected babies, so he could readily put two and two together. In the case of our COVID drugs, when your doctor tells you to get a vaccine, he doesn’t administer it, doesn’t witness the injection, and usually doesn’t follow up to see how you fared. And if you were to suddenly develop a vision problem or bleeding from the bowel, you wouldn’t be seen by your primary care doctor; you would go to the emergency room — and ER staff usually don’t ask about your recent vaccine history.

Third, Dr. Lenz presciently recognized that, in the case of thalidomide, many less-severe deformities revealed “gradations of the defect.” Unfortunately, in the present case, lesser degrees of clotting problems are indistinguishable from bleeding issues frequently encountered in an emergency room or doctor’s office. For example, if a 75-year-old hyperten-

sive male — who has gotten a COVID shot — suffers a brain hemorrhage and dies, it would not likely be deemed unusual, and the relationship to vaccination may not even be explored.

Finally, in the 1950s and 1960s, although the enhanced communication ability of the Internet was not available, medical censorship was also not so prevalent. Doctors could easily publish their interesting findings in journals that were not controlled by “peer review” and editors acting in the interest of their funding stream — i.e., the pharmaceutical industry and other interested donors. Doctors today unfortunately consider the mainstream medical journals the source of reliable truth rather than biased paid advertisements constructed to benefit corporate medicine — as Dr. Leemon McHenry, Ph.D., discusses in episode 13 of his video series *Perspectives on the Pandemic*, “The Illusion of Evidence Based Medicine.”

Keeping all this in mind, we should assume the worst when it comes to these new COVID shots. Though VAERS has the potential to shorten recognition time of drug problems by trying to spot any unusual patterns, this requires that physicians be aware of the system and take the time to enter any suspected side effects — not just the worst cases. It also requires that researchers care enough to look. This is not happening. A Harvard report previously submitted to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality revealed that fewer than one percent of adverse events get reported to VAERS.

In the past, testing done on mRNA technology revealed problems specifically involving the clotting system. Antibody-mediated platelet damage has been suspected. Yet today, when these exact problems arise, the researchers are mum. Do the experts not study or know their own vaccine-research history?

Save Ourselves, Save Our World

Michael Yeadon, Ph.D., the former chief science officer for Pfizer, recently made two statements we must not ignore:

“I’m here to tell you that there is something very, very bad happening. If you don’t pay attention, you will soon lose any chance to do anything about it,” and “Look out the window, and think, ‘Why is my government lying to me about something so fundamental?’ Because, I think the answer is, they are



AP Images

A new thalidomide? In the late 1950s, thalidomide was touted as a safe and effective means of reducing morning sickness during pregnancy, among other uses. It caused severe birth defects. Are we seeing a similar situation with the COVID-19 vaccines with their various side effects?

going to kill you using this method. They're going to kill you and your family."

Maybe you can't yet believe Big Pharma is targeting you, but the undeniable fact is that they are willing to gamble with the lives of you and your family. They have released upon the entire world's population a novel technology, bypassing animal testing and long-term human testing, and ignoring any inconveniences such as unusual and unexplained death and disease.

For decades, we have been a gullible population that allowed our children to get vaccinated for trivial, non-fatal diseases such as mumps and even chicken pox. We have believed in the god-like status of medical technocrats who claim to be making the world safer. We have joined in the mocking of "anti-vaxxers" as anti-scientific troglodytes. We have tacitly accepted the unspoken premise under which pharmaceutical companies and doctors operate — that "all vaccines are always safe in all people all the time." The reality is, it should not be considered unreasonable to question the necessity of any drug, and to require transparency, honesty, and safety.

No one can afford to sit on the sidelines. At the minimum, go to wonder.cdc.gov/vaers.html and research for yourself what is happening. Don't take these genetic agents without doing the research. Don't experiment on your children. Resist all attempts of government or businesses to mandate any vaccine. Do not be coerced by threats to limit your travel. Do not be coaxed by false promises of more personal freedom if you take the injections. You don't need a biology degree. Look for truth by looking at censorship. Watch the "fact checkers" — and the truth they are trying to hide. Listen to the mainstream media, and do the opposite. Remember, if you don't own the rights to your own body, you are a slave.

And if you are a physician, it is time to choose your allegiance. Will you stand for your patients? Or will you hide behind the medical authorities and say someday, "I was just following orders." ■

While the above article surveys the early known effects of the experimental COVID vaccines on individuals, a cover story in an upcoming issue of THE NEW AMERICAN will examine the impact of these vaccines on world health and population.

Are "Vaccines" Harming More THAN THE "VAXXED"?

by Dr. Lee Merritt

There seems to be no end to the bad news about COVID "vaccines." First, we have the unprecedented number of deaths. Over the past 20 years among all forms of vaccination, there have been 4,206 total deaths recorded in the government's Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). But in 2021, after only five and a half months of COVID vaccination, 4,406 deaths have occurred — more than the previous 20 years of all vaccines combined. In addition to deaths, there have also been issues with bleeding and thrombocytopenia. If one enters the search terms "bleeding," "hemorrhage," "thrombocytopenia," and "pancytopenia" into VAERS, one finds zero reports for 1980, five for 1990, 22 for 2000, 32 for 2010, 34 for 2020 (18 of which were from COVID vaccines), and 493 for the first five months of 2021 alone — 492 of which were from COVID vaccines!

We are also hearing about myocarditis — an inflammation of the heart — affecting an unexpected number of young people. Enter the search terms "myocarditis," "heart attack," "heart inflammation," and "myocardial" into VAERS, and there are zero reports for 1980, three for 1990, seven for 2000, 21 for 2010, 27 for 2020 (18 of which were from COVID vaccines), and 385 for the first five months of 2021 — 380 of which were from COVID vaccines!

But these new gene-based experimental unapproved agents (not properly called "vaccines" because they do not actually protect a person from getting COVID) are the gift that keeps on giving. Studies on the Pfizer vaccine showed that the spike proteins — structures projecting from the surface coating of a virus that help the virus bind to and invade a host cell — collect in the ovaries of vaccinated individuals.

It should be noted that in 2015, "self-disseminating" vaccines were used to decrease the mouse population successfully in Australia. The vaccines spread by bodily fluids, and as one mouse spread the vaccine to another, those mice became vaccine spreaders to another group. After two or three such passes through a host, the vaccine did not pass further. But the ovarian follicles in female mice were destroyed, thus rendering lifelong infertility. These agents were thus considered "immunogenetic contraceptives."

The Danger of Shedding

It is important to consider this previous animal research and technology when addressing the latest concern of human vaccines — "shedding." People, especially women, seem to become symptomatic after being in close contact with a recently vaccinated person. Complaints range from flu-like symptoms to a variety of bleeding: irregular menstrual flow, young girls bleeding well before expected onset of menses, post-menopausal women bleeding, testicular pain and genital rashes in boys, and, in at least one clear case, death. I myself had the experience of touching a recently vaccinated patient, and almost a week later, developed significant nose bleeding that stopped only after dosing with hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin. Many would say that this was a coincidence, but at age 68, this was the first nosebleed of my life.

Predictably, media disregarded these complaints and called victims and those speaking for them scaremongers, anti-vaxxers, and idiots. Then, the fact-checkers came out like a swarm of locusts, yelling, "false, false, false!" (That's a good clue something is true.) But, recently, a paper written by the FDA in 2015 surfaced, titled "Design and Analysis of Shedding Studies for Virus or Bac-



AP Images

High risk: There is strong evidence to suggest that COVID-19 vaccines can cause harm to women's reproductive systems, either directly or via "shedding" from other vaccinated individuals.

teria-Based Gene Therapy and Oncolytic Products — Guidance for Industry." The paper, which was written for pharmaceutical researchers, states in the introduction, "Shedding raises the possibility of transmission of VBGT or oncolytic products from treated to untreated individuals (e.g., close contacts and healthcare professionals)." And just to make sure that researchers knew they would not be prosecuted, the FDA kindly added, "FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities." Lovely.

Note that the FDA refers to these agents not as "vaccines" but as VBGTs, or virus or bacteria-based gene therapies. The FDA suggests pre-clinical data on shedding may be requested if "humans have not been previously exposed to the product and the route of administration differs from the natural route of exposure/infection." That certainly applies to the COVID shots. The FDA recommends these studies be done prior to licensure, specifically in Stage I testing. We are now at Stage IV of the vaccine approval process, with no official information given to us, and these widespread genetic agents

are being foisted on the entire world, including our children.


The FDA warns that in some cases, "shedding of such products may be intermittent and unpredictable." They suggest that some agents have a higher potential for "recombination or reversion within an individual patient," thus "what is shared may change." In other words, our medical experts are injecting us with genetic agents that may recombine genetically within us depending on our genome and what other genetic particles are floating around. These new and unknown products can shed to other people and infect or transfect them. ("Transfection" is inserting genetic material that alters a person's native DNA.)

To understand the degree of shedding, the FDA advisors recommend collecting samples of vaccinated people beginning on day one and continuing weekly for more than 10 weeks until three consecutive weeks show no evidence

of the shedding. They believe that shedding occurs through bodily fluid, and is not airborne. Immune compromised patients may shed for longer periods of time, the FDA says: "Immunosuppressed patients may become persistently infected and may shed the product for extended periods of time." So, the idea of children infecting and hurting their grandparents is backwards. The elderly — many of whom are pressured into getting the vaccines — may actually shed harmful genetic agents onto their children and grandchildren, and these products may be affecting fertility by attaching to and damaging the ovaries.

FDA regulators say, without apparent studies to confirm, that the risk of shedding is generally low. But they recommend "preventive/containment measures that can limit spread of the shed product beyond the treated individual to minimize exposure of third parties, particularly, immune compromised adults, neonates and seniors." They seem to have missed the people at most risk here, i.e., women who are pregnant and any females of child-bearing age, or young girls.

If you are a female and have experienced alteration in your menstrual cycle either through vaccination or through secondary contamination by shedding, contribute to the knowledge base by adding your data to www.MyCycleStory.com. This site is run by Dr. Christiane Northrup, who has been a pioneer in women's reproductive health. There is potential for treatment, but this cannot be conclusive until we know more about the syndrome of shedding. If you are pregnant, may become pregnant in the future, or have a compromised immune system, you may be at greater risk if you are in close proximity to recently vaccinated people. However, it does not appear at this time that normal activities such as shopping or being outside around others place you at risk. ■



EXTRA COPIES AVAILABLE

◆ Additional copies of this issue of THE NEW AMERICAN are available at quantity-discount prices. To place your order, visit www.shopjbs.org or see the card between pages 34-35.