



Jefferson Van Drew

Representative

New Jersey District 2nd

Republican

Contact:

Website: vandrew.house.gov

Phone: (202) 225-6572

117th (2021-2022)

Vote	Vote Date	Good Vote	Vote
H.R. 5376	11/19/2021	Good: No	No
Build Back Better Act We Oppose. Read More Read More about this Vote View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/5376			
H.R. 3684	11/05/2021	Good: No	Yes
Infrastructure We Oppose. Read More Read More about this Vote View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/3684			
H.R. 256	06/17/2021	Good: Yes	No
Iraq AUMF Repeal We Support. Under the Constitution, the Congress, not the president, possesses the power to declare war, and by adopting the 2002 Iraq AUMF, Congress unconstitutionally abdicated this power. Also, the U.S. military should not be used by the president to enforce UN resolutions or to engage in nation building, and Iraq does not threaten the United States. Read More H.R. 256 would repeal the Authorization for Use of Military Force Against Iraq Resolution of 2002. Signed into law on October 16 of that year, the AUMF authorized the president to use the U.S. military “as he determines” to “defend the national security of the United States against the continuing threat posed by Iraq,” and “enforce all relevant United Nations Security Council Resolutions regarding Iraq.” The House passed H.R. 256 on June 17, 2021 by a vote of 268 to 161 (Roll Call 172). We have assigned pluses to the yeas because, under the Constitution, the Congress, not the president, possesses the power to declare war, and by adopting the 2002 Iraq AUMF, Congress unconstitutionally abdicated this power. Also, the U.S. military should not be used by the president to enforce UN resolutions or to engage in nation building, and Iraq does not threaten the United States. Read More about this Vote View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/256			
H.R. 51	04/22/2021	Good: No	No

Vote	Vote Date	Good Vote	Vote
Washington, D.C., Statehood			
<p>We Oppose. The push for D.C. statehood is merely a politically motivated effort to gain two Democratic Party senators and thus more easily advance a left-wing agenda. Moreover, granting statehood to the District of Columbia violates Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution. H.R. 51 purports to circumvent this constitutional prohibition by reducing D.C. to basically the Capitol and surrounding governmental buildings.</p> <p>Read More</p> <p>H.R. 51, the Washington, D.C. Admission Act, would admit most of the District of Columbia as the 51st state, rename it “Washington, Douglass Commonwealth,” and give it full representation in Congress, with two U.S. senators and one U.S. representative. Under the bill, the area of Washington, D.C., surrounding the National Mall and including the White House and U.S. Capitol would remain a separate federal district with three electoral votes in accordance with the 23rd Amendment.</p> <p>The House passed H.R. 51 on April 22, 2021 by a vote of 216 to 208 (Roll Call 132). We have assigned pluses to the nays because the push for D.C. statehood is merely a politically motivated effort to gain two Democratic Party senators and thus more easily advance a left-wing agenda. Moreover, granting statehood to the District of Columbia violates Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution. H.R. 51 purports to circumvent this constitutional prohibition by reducing D.C. to basically the Capitol and surrounding governmental buildings.</p> <p>Read More about this Vote</p> <p>View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/51</p>			
H.R. 1603	03/18/2021	Good: No	Yes
Agricultural Migrant Amnesty			
<p>We Oppose. This bill would encourage and reward mass migration to the United States. Mass migration is a tool of the Deep State to fundamentally alter the United States. By importing significant numbers of individuals from cultures with no knowledge or understanding of the U.S. Constitution and the Founding Fathers’ philosophical principles, the Deep State is able to reshape the nation to its liking — importing the very type of socialist and corrupt governments that many migrants arrived from.</p> <p>Read More</p> <p>H.R. 1603 would give amnesty to illegal aliens who have worked at least 180 days — out of the previous two years — in the agriculture industry, likely affecting over 1.5 million illegals. Those illegals would then be eligible for green cards — and, eventually, citizenship — if they pay a fine and wait up to eight years. The bill makes multiple changes to the H-2A visa program, making it more attractive to migrants, including allowing 40,000 H-2A unskilled workers annually to receive green cards, which in turn would give those workers’ family members a path to U.S. residency and/or citizenship.</p> <p>The House passed H.R. 1603 on March 18, 2021 by a vote of 247 to 174 (Roll Call 93). We have assigned pluses to the nays because this bill would encourage and reward mass migration to the United States. Mass migration is a tool of the Deep State to fundamentally alter the United States. By importing significant numbers of individuals from cultures with no knowledge or understanding of the U.S. Constitution and the Founding Fathers’ philosophical principles, the Deep State is able to reshape the nation to its liking — importing the very type of socialist and corrupt governments that many migrants arrived from.</p> <p>Read More about this Vote</p> <p>View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/1603</p>			
H.R. 8	03/11/2021	Good: No	No
Background Checks			
<p>We Oppose. This bill would be one more steppingstone toward the Deep State’s unconstitutional goal of total civilian disarmament.</p> <p>Read More</p> <p>H.R. 8 would criminalize most private firearms transactions unless they include an FBI background check. Specifically, this bill would require anyone desiring to transfer a firearm to bring the firearm to a licensed dealer, who would then take possession of the firearm and complete a background check on the intended recipient of the transfer. The firearm would be returned to the original owner if the transfer is rejected. Although the bill includes some exceptions to this universal requirement for a background check, it still represents a major infringement on our God-given right to keep and bear arms.</p> <p>The House passed H.R. 8 on March 11, 2021 by a vote of 227 to 203 (Roll Call 75). We have assigned pluses to the nays because this bill would be one more steppingstone toward the Deep State’s unconstitutional goal of total civilian disarmament.</p> <p>Read More about this Vote</p> <p>View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/8</p>			
H.R. 1319	03/10/2021	Good: No	No

Vote	Vote Date	Good Vote	Vote
Coronavirus Appropriations			
<p>We Oppose. Congress is failing to address its fiscally irresponsible budgeting and appropriating process that yielded annual federal deficits of \$3.1 trillion in fiscal 2020 and an expected \$3.0 trillion in 2021. Moreover, virtually all of the coronavirus aid provisions, including direct checks, federal unemployment benefits, and economic subsidies, are unconstitutional.</p> <p>Read More</p> <p>H.R. 1319, the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, would follow the precedent set in 2020 of spending multiple trillions of dollars within one year on unconstitutional programs in the name of coronavirus relief. As summarized by a Treasury Department fact sheet posted after the House and Senate had approved the \$1.9 trillion spending bill, 90 million Americans would receive more than \$242 billion in direct payments (\$1,400 for individuals, \$2,800 for married couples, and \$1,400 for each dependent); families would receive \$3,600 for children under age six, and \$3,000 for other children under age 18; state and local governments would receive \$325 billion in emergency direct payments; states, territories, and tribes would receive \$10 billion for capital projects; states, territories, and tribes would receive \$10 billion for homeowner relief; states, territories, and tribes would receive \$21.6 billion for emergency rental assistance for households affected by COVID-19; etc.</p> <p>The House passed H.R. 1319 on March 10, 2021 by a vote of 220 to 211 (Roll Call 72). We have assigned pluses to the nays because Congress is failing to address its fiscally irresponsible budgeting and appropriating process that yielded annual federal deficits of \$3.1 trillion in fiscal 2020 and an expected \$3.0 trillion in 2021. Moreover, virtually all of the coronavirus aid provisions, including direct checks, federal unemployment benefits, and economic subsidies, are unconstitutional.</p> <p>Read More about this Vote</p> <p>View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/1319</p>			
H.R. 842	03/09/2021	Good: No	Yes
Collective Bargaining			
<p>We Oppose. The U.S. Constitution does not give the federal government any authority to regulate employers or workers. The 10th Amendment guarantees that the states and the people have authority over these matters.</p> <p>Read More</p> <p>The so-called Protecting the Right to Organize, or PRO, Act (H.R. 842) would end states' right-to-work laws, empower the National Labor Relations Board to force employees to unionize against their will, encourage illegal aliens to join unions, and align U.S. labor laws with those of Mexico and Canada, in accordance with the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA), which requires member countries to recognize collective bargaining at the national level.</p> <p>The House passed H.R. 842 on March 9, 2021 by a vote of 225 to 206 (Roll Call 70). We have assigned pluses to the nays because the U.S. Constitution does not give the federal government any authority to regulate employers or workers. The 10th Amendment guarantees that the states and the people have authority over these matters.</p> <p>Read More about this Vote</p> <p>View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/842</p>			
H.R. 1	03/03/2021	Good: No	No
Federalizing Voting			
<p>We Oppose. Under our system of government, state legislatures retain primary authority over regulating congressional elections.</p> <p>Read More</p> <p>The For the People Act (H.R. 1) would implement a sweeping federalization of American elections. Among the bill's provisions, H.R. 1 would force states to implement nationwide Internet, automatic, and same-day voter registration. The bill would also mandate states to allow mail-in voting for all voters, make ballot "drop boxes" available in every county, and implement early voting at least 15 days prior to an election. Additionally, H.R. 1 would prohibit voter caging, greatly reducing verification of voter-registration lists; require states to set up "independent redistricting commissions" that take redistricting authority out of state legislatures' hands; and enact additional campaign-finance regulations.</p> <p>The House passed H.R. 1 on March 3, 2021 by a vote of 220 to 210 (Roll Call 62). We have assigned pluses to the nays because, under our system of government, state legislatures retain primary authority over regulating congressional elections.</p> <p>Read More about this Vote</p> <p>View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/1</p>			
H.R. 1280	03/03/2021	Good: No	No

Vote	Vote Date	Good Vote	Vote
Police Reform			
<p>We Oppose. The U.S. Constitution does not authorize the federal government to establish any type of national standards governing the operation, conduct, and accreditation of local law-enforcement agencies. To do so would constitute an egregious violation of the principles of federalism, as set forth in the 10th Amendment, and would be a major step toward nationalizing our local police into a federal police force — threatening our very existence as a free people.</p> <p>Read More</p> <p>The George Floyd Justice in Policing Act (H.R. 1280) would, according to a fact sheet released by the House Judiciary Committee, “establish a national standard for the operation of police departments,” governing the conduct of police officers. The bill also aims to defund police departments and allocate those funds to leftist “community organizations,” further perpetuating the Left’s war on police.</p> <p>The House passed H.R. 1280 on March 3, 2021 by a vote of 220 to 212 (Roll Call 60). We have assigned pluses to the nays because the U.S. Constitution does not authorize the federal government to establish any type of national standards governing the operation, conduct, and accreditation of local law-enforcement agencies. To do so would constitute an egregious violation of the principles of federalism, as set forth in the 10th Amendment, and would be a major step toward nationalizing our local police into a federal police force — threatening our very existence as a free people.</p> <p>Read More about this Vote</p> <p>View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/1280</p>			
H.R. 5	02/25/2021	Good: No	No

Equality Act			
<p>We Oppose. This bill would cause harm to heterosexual children and adults, as well as threaten religious freedom and the right of association.</p> <p>Read More</p> <p>The Equality Act (H.R. 5) would expand the definition of protected classes in federal law to include “sexual orientation and gender identity.” Regarding the latter, the bill explicitly states that “an individual shall not be denied access to a shared facility, including a restroom, a locker room, and a dressing room, that is in accordance with the individual’s gender identity.” That is, males who identify as females would be able to use the public restrooms, locker rooms, and dressing rooms of females — and vice versa. Moreover, the bill not only fails to include religious exemptions (e.g., allowing a church adoption agency to refuse placing children with homosexual couples), but explicitly states that the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 cannot be used as a defense against the bill’s applications.</p> <p>The House passed the Equality Act on February 25, 2021 by a vote of 224 to 206 (Roll Call 39). We have assigned pluses to the nays because of the harm it would cause to heterosexual children and adults, as well as threatening religious freedom and the right of association.</p> <p>Read More about this Vote</p> <p>View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/5</p>			
H.R. 24	01/13/2021	Good: No	No

Trump Impeachment			
<p>We Oppose. President Trump did not commit any crime — much less “high Crimes and Misdemeanors” (the constitutional standard for impeachment) — by exercising his right to free speech regarding the election results and political activism. Also, to interpret his “fight like hell” remark as a call to violence is ludicrous. In the same speech, Trump stated, “I know that everyone here will soon be marching over to the Capitol building to peacefully and patriotically make your voices heard.”</p> <p>Read More</p> <p>The article of impeachment (“Incitement of Insurrection”), contained in House Resolution 24, would impeach President Donald Trump for engaging in “high Crimes and Misdemeanors by inciting violence against the Government of the United States.” The article alleges Trump incited violence and insurrection by repeatedly issuing “false statements asserting that the Presidential election results were the product of widespread fraud and should not be accepted by the American people or certified by State or Federal officials”; by reiterating the claim that “we won the election” at his January 6, 2021, Washington, D.C., rally; and by saying in his January 6 speech, “if you don’t fight like hell you’re not going to have a country anymore.”</p> <p>The House adopted the article of impeachment on January 13, 2021 by a vote of 232 to 197 (Roll Call 17). We have assigned pluses to the nays because President Trump had not committed any crime — much less “high Crimes and Misdemeanors” (the constitutional standard for impeachment) — by exercising his right to free speech regarding the election results and political activism. Also, to interpret his “fight like hell” remark as a call to violence is ludicrous. In the same speech, Trump stated, “I know that everyone here will soon be marching over to the Capitol building to peacefully and patriotically make your voices heard.”</p> <p>Read More about this Vote</p> <p>View vote details at congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-resolution/24</p>			